hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 4 OF 2008
WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 195 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1154 OF 2008

Mr. V. Subramanian ... Petitioner

Versus

Slum Rehabilitation Authority
and Ors. ... Respondents

Ms. J.A. Sarkhot for the Petitioner.

None for Respondents.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO, &
J.P.DEVADHAR, JJ.
DATED: FEBRUARY 06, 2009

P.C.

. Delay Condoned. Office to register the Review Petition.

. By the present review petition, the Petitioner seeks to review the order dated 9.8.2007. We had declined to exercise our extra ordinary jurisdiction in view of the order dated 5.2.2002 passed in Writ Petition No. 2553 of 2001. The learned Bench of this Court in those proceedings had noted that the Petitioners name does not figure in Annexure "2" list. However, the Petitioner was given a liberty to adopt appropriate proceedings in accordance with law. It is the contention of the Petitioner that they had made a representation dated 28.6.2002 and that no action was taken on it, the petition was filed. The liberty granted in W.P. NO. 2553 of 2001 was to adopt appropriate proceedings. In other

words, proceedings which are legally available and not mere representation. If the Petitioner’s name does not figure in Annexure "2" list and has been rejected, then the proceedings will have to be taken under the provisions available.

. Considering the above, in our opinion, the order does not suffer any error apparent on the fact of the record and consequently review petition rejected.

(J.P.DEVADHAR, J.) **(F.I. REBELLO,J.)**